

February 5, 2018

Impact of US Tax Reform on Group Rating Affiliations

A.M. Best comments on how tax reform affects group rating affiliations

The purpose of this briefing is to address anticipated structural changes to certain reinsurance arrangements between affiliated (re)insurance companies, prompted by the December 22, 2017 enactment of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (TCJA), and the impact of such changes as they relate to A.M. Best's assessment of group rating affiliations.

It is expected that rated US domiciled (re)insurers that have material financial arrangements — in particular, quota share, excess of loss, or stop loss agreements with foreign affiliates — will, in response to the base erosion and anti-abuse tax (BEAT) measure of TCJA, make significant changes to these reinsurance contracts. These contracts, considered explicit support under *Best's Credit Rating Methodology*, are considered in our assessment of group rating affiliations. A.M. Best's group rating affiliation contemplates a combination of ten factors when determining eligibility for a group rating.

An affiliate of a lead rating unit that carries a group rating affiliation is important to the group's primary mission based on its financial, operational, and/or strategic importance. The assessment of whether the affiliate is important to the group contemplates how it meets the following criteria:

- Is the affiliate critical to the group's strategy and ongoing success?
- Is the affiliate fully integrated in the group's operations and management?
- Is the affiliate material to the group's business profile?
- Will the affiliate's divestiture lead to a major shift in business strategy?
- Does the affiliate carry the group name or is it easily identified with the group?
- Is the affiliate a significant contributor to the group's earnings?
- Is the parent willing and able to provide explicit support?
- Does the affiliate have a track record of supporting the group's strategy?
- Is the affiliate necessary for rate flexibility?
- Is the affiliate necessary to meet licensing requirements?

Material changes to a reinsurance agreement, or outright non-renewal, for any reason would affect the assessment of whether the parent is willing and able to provide explicit support to the affiliate. The other items used to establish explicit support are: (1) demonstration of a recent material capital injection (excluding initial capitalization), (2) an intercompany loan, and (3) a guaranty or net worth maintenance agreement, or other contractually explicit support.

As explicit support is only one of ten qualitative or quantitative factors used to assess group rating affiliation eligibility, and reinsurance is only one component (although an important component) of explicit support, clearly the presence of a quota share agreement is not the sole determinant of group rating eligibility. The cessation of a reinsurance agreement between a lead rating unit and an affiliate does not automatically negate the group rating affiliation status. A.M. Best will consider all ten factors, including all forms of explicit support, on a situational

Analytical Contacts:

Darian Ryan, CPA, Oldwick
+1 (908) 439-2200 Ext. 5449
Darian.Ryan@ambest.com

Susan Molineux, CPA,
CPCU, CFE, Oldwick
+1 (908) 439-2200 Ext. 5829
Susan.Molineux@ambest.com

Steve Chirico, CPA, Oldwick
+1 (908) 439-2200 Ext. 5087
Steven.Chirico@ambest.com

Contributor:

Catherine Thomas, London
SR-2018-B-017



basis. A.M. Best will contemplate the permanency and materiality of explicit support as well as regulatory and legal considerations (including tax changes), while performing this part of the analysis.

A.M. Best realizes that an exogenous event has caused a change in the economic value of a reinsurance agreement. We will consider reasonable alternative strategies that demonstrate explicit support, as well as the other qualitative and quantitative factors, to assess group rating affiliation eligibility.

Entities started or acquired within the last 18 to 24 months are generally not eligible for the **(g)** affiliation code, as they might not: have made a contribution to the group's earnings, be fully integrated with the rest of the rating unit, or have a track record of supporting the group's strategy. Therefore, a start-up or recently acquired entity would likely need, as a minimum, explicit parental support (e.g., affiliated reinsurance, financial guarantee) to be assigned a group **(g)** affiliation code.

Establishing Explicit Support

Recent Capital Injections: generally, a hard common equity capital injection, separate and apart from initial capitalization, made within the last five years will receive the most "credit". Other forms of capital injection, including preferred or preference shares, can receive significant credit based on the common equity-like features of the shares.

Guaranty, Net Worth Maintenance Agreement, or other Contractual Agreements: Fully executed agreements of support will be analyzed for policyholder protection and are viewed as strong if the agreements contain at least 12-month termination clauses; cover all obligations of the subsidiary; and include clear jurisdictional conflict resolution clauses, policyholder assurance of enforcement language, and claim and reserve run-off protection.

Intercompany Loans: Fully executed intercompany loan agreements will be analyzed to ensure arms-length terms and conditions and the same policyholder protection language as delineated in the Contractual Agreements section directly above.

Execution of contractual agreements and intercompany loans will cause the BCAR of the company that provides the support to be stress tested by synthetically deducting from capital a conservative support scenario. This will have the effect of reducing the company's policyholders' surplus and resultant BCAR scores.

The impact of tax reform will be a net positive for the financial position of US property/casualty insurance companies and US-parented global (re)insurers. The largest benefit will be the reduction in the corporate tax rate; however, other tax reform provisions may serve to limit the benefit of the reduction. Foreign-parented global (re)insurers have publicly stated that the impact of tax reform will not be material, presumably as a result of the multiple platforms in which they can transact business.

Summary of Material Tax Law Changes as They Affect Property/Casualty (Re)insurance Groups

1. Reduces Corporate Tax rate from 35% to 21%;
2. Base erosion and anti-abuse tax (BEAT) taxes payments to foreign affiliates by adding payments back to taxable income — the definition of payments is evolving;
3. Repatriation tax implements a tax system that taxes foreign subsidiaries' earnings not distributed; and
4. Modifies the discount calculation and loss payment patterns used to determine tax-basis loss and LAE reserve balances.

Please see our December 21 briefing, *First Look — Tax Reform 2017*, for additional coverage of the new law.

Companies have begun to report their expected financial adjustments to their 2017 financial position. This will include an adjustment to their deferred tax assets or liabilities, incorporating an estimate of the effects of the items listed above and additional less prevalent changes. A.M. Best will continue to monitor the impact of tax reform on insurers' financial statements as they close their books and report their results.

Published by A.M. Best

BRIEFING

A.M. Best Company, Inc.

Oldwick, NJ

CHAIRMAN & PRESIDENT **Arthur Snyder III**

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT **Karen B. Heine**

SENIOR VICE PRESIDENTS **Alessandra L. Czarnecki, Thomas J. Plummer**

A.M. Best Rating Services, Inc.

Oldwick, NJ

CHAIRMAN & PRESIDENT **Larry G. Mayewski**

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT **Matthew C. Mosher**

SENIOR MANAGING DIRECTORS **Douglas A. Collett, Edward H. Easop,**

Stefan W. Holzberger, Andrea Keenan, James F. Snee

WORLD HEADQUARTERS

1 Ambest Road, Oldwick, NJ 08858

Phone: +1 908 439 2200

WASHINGTON

830 National Press Building, 529 14th Street N.W., Washington, DC 20045

Phone: +1 202 347 3090

MEXICO CITY

Paseo de la Reforma 412, Piso 23, Mexico City, Mexico

Phone: +52 55 1102 2720

LONDON

12 Arthur Street, 6th Floor, London, UK EC4R 9AB

Phone: +44 20 7626 6264

DUBAI*

Office 102, Tower 2, Currency House, DIFC

P.O. Box 506617, Dubai, UAE

Phone: +971 4375 2780

*Regulated by the DFSA as a Representative Office

HONG KONG

Unit 4004 Central Plaza, 18 Harbour Road, Wanchai, Hong Kong

Phone: +852 2827 3400

SINGAPORE

6 Battery Road, #39-04, Singapore

Phone: +65 6303 5000

Best's Financial Strength Rating (FSR): an independent opinion of an insurer's financial strength and ability to meet its ongoing insurance policy and contract obligations. An FSR is not assigned to specific insurance policies or contracts.

Best's Issuer Credit Rating (ICR): an independent opinion of an entity's ability to meet its ongoing financial obligations and can be issued on either a long- or short-term basis.

Best's Issue Credit Rating (IR): an independent opinion of credit quality assigned to issues that gauges the ability to meet the terms of the obligation and can be issued on a long- or short-term basis (obligations with original maturities generally less than one year).

Rating Disclosure: Use and Limitations

A Best's Credit Rating (BCR) is a forward-looking independent and objective opinion regarding an insurer's, issuer's or financial obligation's relative creditworthiness. The opinion represents a comprehensive analysis consisting of a quantitative and qualitative evaluation of balance sheet strength, operating performance and business profile or, where appropriate, the specific nature and details of a security. Because a BCR is a forward-looking opinion as of the date it is released, it cannot be considered as a fact or guarantee of future credit quality and therefore cannot be described as accurate or inaccurate. A BCR is a relative measure of risk that implies credit quality and is assigned using a scale with a defined population of categories and notches. Entities or obligations assigned the same BCR symbol developed using the same scale, should not be viewed as completely identical in terms of credit quality. Alternatively, they are alike in category (or notches within a category), but given there is a prescribed progression of categories (and notches) used in assigning the ratings of a much larger population of entities or obligations, the categories (notches) cannot mirror the precise subtleties of risk that are inherent within similarly rated entities or obligations. While a BCR reflects the opinion of A.M. Best Rating Services, Inc. (AMBRs) of relative creditworthiness, it is not an indicator or predictor of defined impairment or default probability with respect to any specific insurer, issuer or financial obligation. A BCR is not investment advice, nor should it be construed as a consulting or advisory service, as such; it is not intended to be utilized as a recommendation to purchase, hold or terminate any insurance policy, contract, security or any other financial obligation, nor does it address the suitability of any particular policy or contract for a specific purpose or purchaser. Users of a BCR should not rely on it in making any investment decision; however, if used, the BCR must be considered as only one factor. Users must make their own evaluation of each investment decision. A BCR opinion is provided on an "as is" basis without any expressed or implied warranty. In addition, a BCR may be changed, suspended or withdrawn at any time for any reason at the sole discretion of AMBRs.

Version 012616

